Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

## Dorothy H. Gibson, Mark S. Mashuta\* and Jose G. Andino

Department of Chemistry, University of Louisville, Louisville, KY 40292, USA

Correspondence e-mail: msmashuta.xray@louisville.edu

#### **Key indicators**

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 90 K Mean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.005 Å Disorder in solvent or counterion R factor = 0.034 wR factor = 0.089 Data-to-parameter ratio = 11.4

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

# *cis*-(2,2'-Bipyridyl- $\kappa^2 N$ ,N')carbonyl( $\eta^3$ -1-methylene-2,2':6',2"-terpyridyl- $\kappa^3 C^1$ ,N,N')ruthenium(II) bis(hexafluorophosphate) acetone disolvate

The title compound,  $[Ru(C_{10}H_8N_2)(C_{16}H_{13}N_3)(CO)](PF_6)_2$ -2C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>6</sub>O, shows highly distorted octahedral geometry about the Ru atom because of the restrictions of the terdentate ligand, which includes a metallacyclic ring, and the chelating 2,2'-bipyridine ligand. The terdentate ligand is bound facially to the Ru atom.

Received 10 January 2005 Accepted 14 January 2005 Online 22 January 2005

## Comment

We have been investigating the chemical reactions and molecular structures of ruthenium complexes with polypyridine ligands for several years (Gibson et al., 1997, 1998, 2001). In the course of these investigations, the title compound, (I), was prepared. The facial relationship of the methylene carbon (C1) and pyridyl N atoms (N3 and N4) in (I) was established prior to the ring-closure reaction resulting from intramolecular alkylation of a pendant pyridyl nitrogen (N5), which afforded the title compound. An ORTEP-3 diagram (Farrugia, 1997) of (I) (Fig. 1) illustrates the structures of the independent entities. The dication in (I) is formally related to that in  $[Ru(tpy)(bpy)(CO)](PF_6)_2$  (bpy is 2,2'-bipyridine and tpy is 2,2':6',2"-terpyridine) (Nagao et al., 1994) since both possess bpy, CO and related terdentate ligands, but the tpy ligand in the latter compound occupies meridional sites on the Ru atom. The constraints imposed by the terdentate ligand in (I) and the chelating bpy ligand ensure that distorted octahedral geometry will result about the Ru atom. Thus, the C2-Ru1-N3 bond angle is considerably less than linear (see Table 1) as a result of the steric demands of the six-membered metallacyclic ring, and is bent away from that ring. Also, the C1-Ru1-N4 and N3-Ru1-N4 bond angles are much less than 90°.



A more closely related structure is that of cis-[Ru( $\eta^2$ -tpy)(bpy)(CO)(COOH)]PF<sub>6</sub> (Gibson *et al.*, 1998), which has the two carbon ligands *cis* as in (I). In this structure, the carboxyl H atom is hydrogen bonded to the pendant pyridyl group of the tpy ligand, forming an eight-membered metal-lacyclic ring. The remaining ligands are arranged around the Ru atom in the same manner as in (I), with the carboxyl

© 2005 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved



Figure 1

An *ORTEP-3* view (Farrugia, 1997) of the title compound, showing 40% probability displacement ellipsoids. H atoms and one disorder component of each anion have been omitted.

carbon (C1) and atoms N3 and N4 of the tpy ligand in facial positions. The hydrogen-bonded metallacyclic ring present in the acid complex does not impose unusual geometric constraints on the Ru coordination environment, as evidenced by the C1-Ru1-N4 angle of 92.6 (2)°. However, the analogous angle in the title compound is severely contracted [77.92 (10)°], resulting from bonding limitations of the methyleneterpyridyl ligand. Other less prominent distortions involving the coordination of the Ru atom are observed in Table 1.

## Experimental

In a Schlenk flask, 0.050 g of  $[Ru(\eta^2-tpy)(bpy)(CO)(CH_2OH)]PF_6$ [isomer 4b in Gibson et al. (2001)] was dissolved in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (25 ml) under nitrogen. HBF<sub>4</sub> etherate (10 ml) was then injected into the flask through a septum and the mixture was stirred for 30 min, then evaporated to dryness. The residue was dissolved in acetone (20 ml) and a saturated solution of NH<sub>4</sub>PF<sub>6</sub> (5 ml) was added. The solution was concentrated to one third of its original volume, resulting in precipitation of a red solid. Crystallization from acetone afforded orange block-shaped crystals.

### Crystal data

$[Ru(C_{10}H_8N_2)(C_{16}H_{13}N_3)-$	Z = 2
$(CO)](PF^6)_2 \cdot 2C_3H_6O$	$D_x = 1.685 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
$M_r = 938.65$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
Triclinic, P1	Cell parameters from 6
a = 12.0293 (15)  Å	reflections
b = 13.1788 (17)  Å	$\theta = 2.2-25.1^{\circ}$
c = 13.8881 (18)  Å	$\mu = 0.61 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\alpha = 68.211 \ (2)^{\circ}$	T = 90 (2)  K
$\beta = 72.262 \ (2)^{\circ}$	Block, orange
$\gamma = 67.135 \ (2)^{\circ}$	$0.23 \times 0.19 \times 0.15 \text{ mm}$
$V = 1850.4 (4) \text{ Å}^3$	

### Data collection

Bruker SMART APEX CCD	6536 independent reflections 6284 reflections with $L > 2\sigma(I)$
childetoineter	P = 0.013
	$R_{int} = 0.013$
Absorption correction: multi-scan	$\theta_{\rm max} = 25.1^{\circ}$
(SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996)	$h = -14 \rightarrow 13$
$T_{\min} = 0.852, T_{\max} = 0.909$	$k = -15 \rightarrow 15$
13720 measured reflections	$l = -16 \rightarrow 16$
Refinement	
Refinement on $F^2$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.042P)^2]$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.034$	+ 2.9426P]
$wR(F^2) = 0.089$	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
S = 1.04	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.01$
6536 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 2.02 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$
572 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.90 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
H-atom parameters constrained	

Table 1		_	
Selected	geometric parameters	(Å,	°).

Ru1-N1	2.079 (2)	Ru1-C1	2.108 (3)
Ru1-N2	2.141 (2)	Ru1-C2	1.846 (3)
Ru1-N3	2.145 (2)	O2-C2	1.153 (3)
Ru1-N4	2.048 (2)	N5-C1	1.510 (3)
N1-Ru1-N2	77.12 (9)	N3-Ru1-C1	98.77 (10)
N1-Ru1-N4	167.70 (9)	N3-Ru1-C2	171.23 (10)
N2-Ru1-N3	80.53 (8)	N4-Ru1-C1	77.92 (10)
N3-Ru1-N4	76.52 (9)	C1-Ru1-C2	89.16 (11)
N1-Ru1-C1	104.65 (10)	Ru1-C1-N5	107.35 (16)
N2-Ru1-C1	178.13 (10)	Ru1-C2-O2	176.5 (2)
N1-Ru1-N4 N2-Ru1-N3 N3-Ru1-N4 N1-Ru1-C1 N2-Ru1-C1	$\begin{array}{c} 167.70 \ (9) \\ 80.53 \ (8) \\ 76.52 \ (9) \\ 104.65 \ (10) \\ 178.13 \ (10) \end{array}$	N3-Ru1-C2 N4-Ru1-C1 C1-Ru1-C2 Ru1-C1-N5 Ru1-C2-O2	171.23 (1 77.92 (1 89.16 (1 107.35 (1 176.5 (2)

One of the hexafluorophosphate anions was modeled with a spinning-top disorder using two four-atom groups of half-occupancy Fatoms (F3a-F6a and F3b-F6b) in addition to the two full-occupancy F atoms (F1 and F2). The second hexafluorophosphate anion has a slipped tumbling disorder that was modeled with one 80% occupancy (P2a/F7a-F12a) and one 20% occupancy (P2b/F7b-F12b) set of restrained P and F atoms. The ratio for the anion disorder was fixed at 80:20% after being determined from unstable refinement of the Fatom occupancies. H atoms were positioned geometrically (C-H =0.95-0.99 Å) and refined using a riding model. Methylene H atoms were included as fixed contributions with  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$ , while methyl groups of the acetone molecules were allowed to ride (the torsion angle which defines its orientation was allowed to refine) on the attached C atom, and these atoms were assigned  $U_{\rm iso}({\rm H})$  =  $1.5U_{eq}(C)$ . Aromatic H atoms were assigned  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$ . The maximum residual electron density was 1.97 Å from atom F9a.

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 2002); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2002); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS90* (Sheldrick, 1990); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL* (Bruker, 2001); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL*.

Support of this work by the National Science Foundation (grant No. 0203131 to DHG) is gratefully acknowledged. The X-ray equipment was purchased through a grant from the Kentucky Research Challenge Trust.

### References

6669

Bruker (2001). SHELXTL. Version 6.12. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

- Bruker (2002). SAINT (Version 6.36) and SMART (Version 5.628). Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1997). J. Appl. Cryst. 30, 565.
- Gibson, D. H., Andino, J. G., Bhamidi, S., Sleadd, B. A. & Mashuta, M. S. (2001). Organometallics, 23, 4956–4964.
- Gibson, D. H., Sleadd, B. A., Mashuta, M. S. & Richardson, J. F. (1997). Organometallics, **19**, 4421–4427.
- Gibson, D. H., Sleadd, B. A., Mashuta, M. S. & Richardson, J. F. (1998). Acta Cryst. C54, 1584–1586.
- Nagao, H., Muzukawa, T. & Tanaka, K. (1994). *Inorg. Chem.* **33**, 3415–3420. Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). *Acta Cryst.* **A46**, 467–473.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). SADABS. Version 2.03 University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.